

Second, with respect to the printing and copying charges, Defendant attaches a Declaration of Attorney Craig Dupen, whereby Mr. Dupen attests the invoices attached as Exhibits 26 through 28 to Defendant's Reply in Support of its Bill of Costs [179] reflect charges incurred in printing oversized engineering drawings for use as exhibits during the depositions of two Toyota engineers. He also attests the invoice attached as Exhibit 29 reflects charges incurred in printing Defendant's final trial exhibits. This Court agrees these charges are taxable, and thus it will overrule Plaintiff's objection to these particular costs. However, as Defendant suggests, it will reduce Defendant's Bill of Costs by the remaining \$3,440.63, the amount for printing/copying charges insufficiently detailed.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff must pay \$24,500.80 to Defendant pursuant to Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure based on the findings in this order and this Court's July 20, 2018, order.

So ordered this 1st Day of August, 2018.



E. RICHARD WEBBER
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE